1 2 3 4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 No. C 13-02080 SI LINDSAY KRESLAKE, 9 Plaintiff, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE 10 SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE 11 MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC., 12 Defendant. 13 Pro se plaintiff Kreslake filed this suit against defendant Midland Credit Management, Inc., in 14 Alameda County Superior Court for "violation of FDCPA 5809 & violations of Rosenthal Act." Compl. 15 16 at 2. On May 14, 2013, after the case was removed to this Court, defendant filed a motion to dismiss pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). The hearing was set for June 28, 2013, and 17 plaintiff's opposition was due on May 30, 2013. Plaintiff did not file an opposition, nor did plaintiff 18 19 request an extension of time. 20 Accordingly, plaintiff is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE in writing no later than June 28, 21 2013, why this case should not be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 41(b). If plaintiff does not provide an adequate response, this action will be dismissed 22 without prejudice. The June 28, 2013 hearing date is VACATED. 23 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 Dated: June 14, 2013 26 United States District Judge 27 28